

REGULAR MEETING OF THE TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Magnolia Room
District Office
4210 Technology Drive
Fremont, California 94536
October 8, 2012; 7:00 p.m.

NOTE: Oral Communications will be at approximately 7:30 p.m. Individuals who wish to speak under Oral Communications need to be present prior to 7:00 p.m. to turn in their request to speak.

OPENING ACTIVITIES

1. CALL TO ORDER: 7:04 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL:

Community Members	PRESENT	FUSD STAFF MEMBERS	PRESENT
Rajan Barma		Suzanne Ayriss, Staff	x
Samir Desai	x	Thomas Birbeck, Teacher	x
Melissa Heckman	x	Anne Damron, Staff—CSEA Rep	x
Robert Hou	x	Maile Ferreira, Staff—FUDTA Rep	
Ratnendu Mukherjee	x	Rob Reibenschuh, Assistant Principal	x
Bin Wang	x	Antoinette “Toni” Schlobohm, Teacher	x
Shankar Venkataram			
Vasundhara Dulam			
		John Krull, Director of Technology, (<i>Ex-officio</i>)	x

Total Voting Members In Attendance: 10 Seated Members: 14 Quorum: 8

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

<i>Motion</i>	<i>Second</i>	<i>Vote</i>		
		<i>Aye</i>	<i>Nay</i>	<i>Abstain</i>
Anne	Robert	9	0	1

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

These items are generally considered to be routine and appropriate for consent. If there are any questions about an item, that item will be removed from the Consent Calendar and considered in its normal sequence on the agenda. Action Items Unless Otherwise Stated.

Consent Motion: It is moved that all items on the Consent Calendar of the agenda be approved, with the exception of item(s) _____.

<i>Motion</i>	<i>Second</i>	<i>Vote</i>		
		<i>Aye</i>	<i>Nay</i>	<i>Abstain</i>
Anne	Robert	10	0	0

A. Approval of meeting minutes of June 4, 2012 meeting. Postponed to next meeting.

END CONSENT SECTION

REGULAR COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS

5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS (approximately 7:30 p.m.) none

6. SCHEDULED ITEMS

1012-01. Introduce Members (New and existing) Information

1012-02. TAC Orientation (Bylaws) On bylaws—consensus to please read and ask questions before next meeting. Information

1012-03. TAC Calendar (Beginning and end) see below Action

<i>Motion</i>	<i>Second</i>	<i>Vote</i>		
		<i>Aye</i>	<i>Nay</i>	<i>Abstain</i>

1012-04. Meeting dates for 2012-2013: Action

- October 1, 2012 -- change to October 8, 2012
- November 5, 2012
- December 3, 2012
- January 14, 2013
- February 4, 2013
- March 4, 2013
- April 15, 2013
- May 6, 2013

<i>Motion</i>	<i>Second</i>	<i>Vote</i>		
		<i>Aye</i>	<i>Nay</i>	<i>Abstain</i>

Consensus to Stay on Monday. Perhaps to change to Second Monday—conflicts with PTA Council meeting on second Mondays. Dates if we switched to Second Mondays (beginning in December will be Dec. 10th, Jan 14th (as scheduled), Feb. 11, March 11, April 8 (as scheduled), May 13, June 10th. Consensus to stay as scheduled.

1012-05. Introduce/Elect officers for 2012-2013 Action

Previously Elected:

Vice-Chair: Toni Schlobohm

Secretary: Anne Damron

To Elect:

Chair: Melissa Heckman

<i>Vote</i>

<i>Motion</i>	<i>Second</i>	<i>Aye</i>	<i>Nay</i>	<i>Abstain</i>
Melissa	Samir	10	0	0

1012-06. Discuss candidates for open Committee seats for community members Action
No action needed—community member slate full.

<i>Motion</i>	<i>Second</i>	<i>Vote</i>		
		<i>Aye</i>	<i>Nay</i>	<i>Abstain</i>

Would like SEIU rep and & instructional technology rep—please suggest people

1012-07. Standing Items

1. Director's Report – John Krull. High Schools have gig, Junior Highs have 110 megs, elementaries have 20 megs—all fiber now due to Comcast upgrade over summer; centralized voicemail system took all summer to install machine, add attendance area at a time—Mission Attendance area first—lots of community comment; MS Site license for all products, now site license for Adobe CS6 which includes Acrobat—word is out to Principals but IT is still working on install roll out. Question about new spam filter—Mission area parents having trouble getting in. Question about Virus protection: using Microsoft's Endpoint instead of Kaspersky.

2. Tech Trends/Community Input: Hopkins uses a cart for their iPads have 10, ordering 20 more. Forest Park Science lab has about 20—now kids are starting to drop them etc. so those cost issues are arising.

3. Parent Feedback—see voicemail system issues and spam filter issues. Three trends—virtualization, cloud computing, mobile device management are areas that are developing quickly. Students are using Dropbox/Google docs quite a bit. SchoolLoop has a "dropbox" sort of area for students. Evernote is very popular on mobile devices. One member wondered about Kindles, Kindle Fires etc.? Not great for apps or research—at this point iPad is far superior. Should FUSD/schools wait for the iPad mini to come out—for \$\$, retina display, screens. Teacher concerns that the small 7 inch device could be more easily pocketed. Concern for student eye strain and posture problems.

4. Parent-Teacher-Student Communication
 see above and below

5. Reporting - School Loop, Bright Arrow, Report Cards—high percentage of teacher use, students and parents liking it, training counselors and VPs on Friday so at the beginning of the year as many people get on board as possible. Bright Arrow—deal is for emergency calling or attendance calling. Full feature for making announcements etc. costs .40 per student. Challenges at HS attendance level, Junior High and elementaries with timing of calls, unhappy parents thinking kids are missing due to the calls.

6. Open Discussion
 see above and below

1012-08. Present and Discuss Technology Department initiatives

John Krull

New things are online enrollment and centralized enrollment may make people be not so attached to some schools, make data entry more accurate, etc. Note the difference between registration and enrollment. Centralized active directory will be created for login systems and automation for new students and staff will be put into directory and pushed out to applications (for example, Accelerated Reader). Working on how to roll out applications better and using Microsoft systems center. IT received a grant for Naviance for High Schools and Junior Highs to be used for career and college planning. Eventually, Naviance could be used for registration. Counselors like using Naviance throughout the whole system.

SchoolMax contract runs out 2014, so consensus that TAC recommends starting a committee now to look at a new system. IT has started preliminary research and looked into funding sources.

Presentation of Common Core—is it realistic that all students can do STAR testing on computers by 2014? Further discussion followed with STAR testing costs, formats, etc. Computer tests would automatically adapt to correct/incorrect answers.

1012-09. Discuss timing of TAC presentation to the Board

Discussion

Historically the TAC presentation has been in June or July. Tech dept to board was done in the summer time. Suggestion was made to do it in January to state what committee is now doing. Should be a collaborative report.

1012-10. TAC projects for 2012-13

Information

1. Tech Plan—feedback due before Thanksgiving, could look at draft in November, info merged from a previous plan with FUSD. Please provide document, Google Docs, etc. June minutes had a good list of assignments. John will resend where it is to everybody. 6/5/12 sent out Google Docs from John. Committee suggests we have a Tech Plan meeting. Proposed to use some TAC time to work on plan. If everyone does their work beforehand, then can spend 1 hour during TAC meeting in November compiling.
2. Online Enrollment/Centralized Enrollment – John Krull, discussed earlier.
3. District-wide payment options: All online payment system used at Mission San Jose High—payments down about 25% on PTA, departments down more the thought being that parents saw the huge bill so they deleted items. 1/3 of population still showed up with checks and had to print all the pages and wait in line.

1012-11. Development of Next Meeting's Tentative Agenda

Information

1. Account Services
2. Repository
3. Anti-Bullying Initiative/Internet Safety—Ongoing programs, working closely with Pupil Services, video on District Website
4. First Hour—tech plan

Approve past minutes, run through standard agenda items.

Next meeting on November 5, 2012. Rob and Toni stated they will be absent.

Motion to adjourn by Anne, seconded by Robert.

<i>Motion</i>	<i>Second</i>	<i>Vote</i>		
		<i>Aye</i>	<i>Nay</i>	<i>Abstain</i>
Anne	Robert	10	0	0

ADJOURN: 8:53 p.m.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Magnolia Room/Ponderosa Room due to small group
District Office
4210 Technology Drive
Fremont, California 94536
November 5, 2012; 7:00 p.m.

NOTE: Oral Communications will be at approximately 7:30 p.m. Individuals who wish to speak under Oral Communications need to be present prior to 7:00 p.m. to turn in their request to speak.

OPENING ACTIVITIES

1. CALL TO ORDER –DUE TO LACK OF QUORUM, INFORMAL MEETING HELD

2. ROLL CALL—IN ATTENDANCE:

ANNE DAMRON

SHANKAR VENKATARAMAN

MELISSA HECKMAN

ROBERT HOU

THOM BIRBECK

SUZANNE AYRISS

VASUNDHARA DULAM

MAILE FERREIRA (7:37PM)

JOHN KRULL, DIRECTOR OF TECHNOLOGY

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA M/S/A NONE DUE TO LACK OF QUORUM

APPROVAL OF MINUTES M/S/A NONE DUE TO LACK OF QUORUM

4. CONSENT CALENDAR—NO ITEMS

END CONSENT SECTION

REGULAR COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS

5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS (approximately 7:30 p.m.)

6. SCHEDULED ITEMS

1112-01. Introduce Members (New and existing) Information

1112-02. Discuss candidates for open Committee seats for community members Action

None—lack of quorum

1112-03. Standing Items—No report

1. Director's Report – John Krull
2. Tech Trends/community Input
3. Parent Feedback

4. Parent-Teacher-Student Communication
5. Reporting - School Loop, Bright Arrow, Report Cards
6. Open Discussion

1112-04. Account Services Discussion

1112-05. Work on Tech plan Action

Committee viewed portions of the Tech Plan and made editing/content suggestions. Access to the Google Doc was granted to members who did not already have access. Assignments were reviewed. No specific deadline is in place. John Krull encouraged attendees to work on their sections and include SMART goals for each point.

1112-06. TAC projects for 2012-13
--Technology Plan Information

1112-07. Development of Next Meeting's Tentative Agenda Information

OARS (Online Assessment and Reporting System) – useful for high school?

ADJOURNED 9:10 p.m. by consensus of those assembled.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Magnolia Room
District Office
4210 Technology Drive
Fremont, California 94536
January 14, 2013; 7:00 p.m.

NOTE: Oral Communications will be at approximately 7:30 p.m. Individuals who wish to speak under Oral Communications need to be present prior to 7:00 p.m. to turn in their request to speak.

OPENING ACTIVITIES

1. CALL TO ORDER 7:03PM

2. ROLL CALL

TONI SCHLOBOHN

DAVID THORNLEY (JUST STARTED AS THE ED TECH TRAINER/COMMUNICATIONS SPECIALIST. 27 YEARS TEACHING, CLASSROOM TEACHER 13 YEARS, COMPUTER LAB TEACHER, BACK IN CLASSROOM, ST MATH LIASION)

THOM BIRBECK

SHANKAR VENKATARAM

JOHN KRULL

ANNE DAMRON

BIN WANG

ROB REIBENSCHUH

MELISSA HECKMAN

SUZANNE AYRISS

ROBERT HOU

SAMIR DESAI

NOT IN ATTENDANCE; RS MUKHERJEE, Vasundhara Dulam, Rajan Barma, Maile Ferreira

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA M/S/A TONI/ROB

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

END CONSENT SECTION

REGULAR COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS

5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS (approximately 7:30 p.m.)

Tech concern—Kaspersky raised by Thom. Discussion about removing expired Kaspersky and installing Endpoint for virus protection. High schools were able to handle this with active

directory. In August centralized active directory should be live and able to provide services district wide.

6. SCHEDULED ITEMS

1212-01. Work on Tech plan

Action

Section 3. 3a Teacher and students access to Technology

Discussion of CCSS and Testing. John has been working with Jan March, Director of testing and Assessment an surveying principals regarding numbers of devices available for Student Testing.

Discussion continued regarding CCSS and testing what you know—with the test adjusting to the number of correct and incorrect answers.

Concerns were raised about the scheduling with the maximum allowed for each 3 hours, and how that would be scheduled given preps, teacher contracts, etc.

Long discussion ensued about chart of testing devices in Tech Plan –Section...Due to unknowns, size of setups, how many need to be tested, and the challenges to figure out amount of time allotted for test, number of students per section, scheduling, etc. Fields in the table were expanded to accommodate the suggestions of committee members using their schools as samples.

Question raised: what happens if a computer goes down during assessment?

Teacher present noted that what was said at today's CCSS training regarding when computer was different from the understanding from other Admins/Teachers for implementation year etc.

Tech Plan—proposal David and John will finalize over the next month and send a final to TAC by next month's meeting.

Consider going to Windows 8 as standard. Tech department meeting about it tomorrow. Depends on device available at every school. Possibly 7 as standard and can give 8 as an upgrade my

Fremont.onthehub.com for purchasing Office items for home use log in with Fremont Unified and use FUSD email address.

Next Meeting February 4, 2013

REGULAR MEETING OF THE TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Ponderosa Room
District Office
4210 Technology Drive
Fremont, California 94536
February 4, 2013; 7:00 p.m.

NOTE: Oral Communications will be at approximately 7:30 p.m. Individuals who wish to speak under Oral Communications should turn in their request to speak prior to 7:00 p.m.

OPENING ACTIVITIES

1. CALL TO ORDER 7:15PM

2. ROLL CALL

THOM BIRBECK	ROBERT HOU	MAILE FERREIRA ARRIVED
SUZANNE AYRISS	JOHN KRULL	7:31 P.M.
RS MUKHERJEE	ANNE DAMRON	TONI SCHLOBOHM ARRIVED
MELISSA HECKMAN	SAMIR DESAI	7:53 P.M.
ROB REIBENSCHUH		

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA MOTION: Rob/ Second: Thom Vote: Unanimous approval

4. CONSENT CALENDAR NONE

END CONSENT SECTION

REGULAR COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS

5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS (approximately 7:30 p.m.) None

6. SCHEDULED ITEMS

0213-01. Approve meeting minutes Action
MOTION: Rob/ Second: Thom Vote: Unanimous approval

0213-02. New SIS discussion Action

SIS: Student Information System Plan. John is request advice from TAC. SchoolMax may change sooner than later. SchoolMax has too many errors through CalPads due to data entry errors. FUSD missed both CalPads deadlines this year due to data issues. Reporting systems are not reporting the same data. Schoolmax was adopted in 2003. This issue came to a head at Mentor Secretary Meeting. Technology Department recognizes that it is time for a new SIS—wrote a board item to get them on board. Argument was good enough that they should tell the board and did a Friday Update, need new one, start the process. Discussion of cost, two registrars, finance person/HS Accounting Clerk, from school sites, LMT, food services, APs/VPs, counselors, OA1s, school clerks from Junior High all need to be on a search committee.

Discussion diverted to when is SchoolLoop roll out for Elementary and new SIS compatibility. Suggestion of meeting for folks to come to presentations/rollouts. Tech gave 4 companies each 90 minutes: Aries (old SASI) popular with small districts; Edupoint: Genesis (also from SASI) Newark Unified, SF Unified just adopted; Infinite Campus, New Haven Unified. Other company names thrown out were Aluminat newer SIS adopted by Santa Ana founder started Data Director (an assessment tool like OARS—and possibly better than OARS).

Assessment systems are now often linked with SIS – Aries has one. OARS costs over \$90,000 per year--the most expensive software FUSD has.

SchoolMax cost \$1.25 mil dollars (budget was \$1.5mil in 10 year ago dollars) included buying hardware. Three years ago FUSD paid for an upgrade. Now FUSD pays \$75,000 a year for maintenance.

Most of the other systems have someone else host it. New SIS prices from roughly from \$6-9 dollars student per year. Aries \$6; Infinite \$9 per student per year. School Loop about 25K per year.

School Loop for elementary pilot at MSJ and Mission Valley ... also using enGrade for elementary report cards. 1/3 of teachers used without any pressure. At 4 elementaries about 20 people each using Engrade for grade book and report card. Better because of standards based report card; card is exactly FUSD's report card. If adopted, then archived for FUSD.

School Loop has standards based report cards on their roadmap—SchoolLoop is rebranding itself with grant money OnTrack California. Will help track students' progress.

Parents comment about Naviance because you have to keep logging in--Interlinking is important. If we can get all systems on the same back end, we can all have one log in.

John thinks we need to keep School Wires for district website etc. It offers more features as a regular website than SchoolLoop does. How can we use as a district intranet tool—can we use it as a teacher repository?

Staff likes having the two emails—parents are Schoolwires, Outlook is admin/other teachers.

SIS systems have book inventory systems (most don't have this feature according to John), on line registration and course selection, do they have library systems? Does it have food service POS capabilities---some concerns that mixing all of this is problematic. Infinite campus is trying to do this, has emergency calling system, doesn't have financial part of it.

Go with a good SIS—committee thought cost effective to go with one full package. Professional development and training need to be considered. Care needs to be taken so we don't get stuck with one group's model.

Timeframe for moving SIS project forward: form committee soon, RFP released in early spring, review RFP responses and make recommendation by end of school/summertime. Run systems in parallel next year last year of contract in School Max—too much to do over one summer. SchoolMax pushing a new version sold to countries, states and large districts. Our version only supported by skeleton crew. Vendors don't see Harris in any of their competition on other district's RFPs. Harris buys companies and runs them according to their service contracts. FUSD is only California customer.

New vendors all aligned with CDE/CalPads. Committee will be released for two full days.

Suggestion that each vendor three-hour presentation 4 times—so you can really learn what system has to offer. Hands-on would be suggested. Suggestion that committee go to sites to see how schools like it after years using it. Do contracts require CSEA or FUDTA members to participate? Teachers need to have reports that are user friendly.

Rob would like to be on committee—discussion about wide range of abilities that should be represented. Need to represents all levels and all attendance areas. Will budget provide for a large committee? Sub costs, etc.

Who was on committee last time?

0213-03. Bulk technology purchase ideas

Action

If there is a chunk of money, we could buy whatever—what would our priorities be?

Goal for 2017 is to have all textbooks on line. Is textbook money allowed to be spent on online resources—should be millions available for textbooks? Gov. Brown wants to get rid of categorical funding. Textbook companies want our business so if you buy the books they will give you the computers.

Burden falls on teachers to set up user names, accounts etc. for the online textbooks? Can this be setup online at the district level? Treasures is doing this now—how do they get logins? Do we need to rethink the management of the materials? Do we have the hardware to support this kind of access for 30,000 students?

What do the teachers want? Training? Laptops? Netbooks? iPads? Teachers have concerns about timing out of applications, netbooks screen size is not big enough for applications window size, concerns about manual dexterity of 3rd grade students and accuracy of data entry. Comment was that if kids were using devices regularly, then the students should be as comfortable as using a pencil.

Goals should be that teachers need tech first, then students based on enrollment to augment testing. Research shows if you bus teachers a laptop, it will be used effectively in the classroom. Florida and Texas used textbook money to give devices to each student. Power plugs, tables, stools, ergonomic stations, etc. need to be considered.

Technology Readiness for Common Core Testing as presented to Senior Management. Can be used for principals and Board. Speed version presented to Tech Committee.

Key points—FUSD is technologically ready for testing, use of Smarter Balanced Tech Readiness Tool, having ample bandwidth provisions. Defining minimum standard for support to encourage schools to buy new devices. End of life computers will not be fixed. TACOS. Principals need their own customized testing plan for their site.

0213-04. Priority update

Action

Tech retreat by staff for end of school year and summer—Add tech staff, District Office support, Site LAN fixes, Wireless architecture and Authentication, video streaming, platform standard with Training, VPS-Palo Alto Networks, Central AD UMRA automation, succession planning/backup, Network Monitoring, Minimum Hardware standards.

Not a priority Adobe rollout, Changing Helpdesks, (SchoolDude), Training of Techs, Mail Archiving, QSS Migration, Network Architecture (Done mostly).

Electronic Board meeting system, Immediate and long-term requirements, and integrate with what Walter Garcia the audio/visual tech is already doing.

Work with purchasing using history to get good bulk prices, some negotiating already in place. Differentiated purchase needs—formula for technology based on enrollment with list of priorities,

0213-05. Tech plan update

Action

Tabled

Next meeting: TAC report to board-- last time was January 2012

Given X amount, how to infuse tech to schools in equitable manner (consider tech standards), enrollment, smarter balance, device in each teacher's hands

Motion to prepare TAC report at end of the year due to fact that developing tech plan postpone until June
Maile, Rob aye.

ADJOURN 9:12 p.m.

Motion: Toni Schlobohm, Second: Samir Desai, Vote: Unanimous approval

REGULAR MEETING OF THE TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Professional Development Computer Lab
District Office
4210 Technology Drive
Fremont, California 94536
March 11, 2013; 7:00 p.m.

NOTE: Oral Communications will be at approximately 7:30 p.m. Individuals who wish to speak under Oral Communications should turn in their request to speak prior to 7:00 p.m.

OPENING ACTIVITIES

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

MELISSA HECKMAN

ANNE DAMRON

DAVID THORNLEY

THOM BIRBECK

BING WANG

SUZANNE AYRISS

ROB REIBENSCHUH

MAILE FERREIRA

TONI SCHLOBOHM

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

END CONSENT SECTION

REGULAR COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS

5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS (approximately 7:30 p.m.)

6. SCHEDULED ITEMS

0313-01. Approve meeting minutes Action

MINUTES MOTION BY ROB, SECOND BY TONI; UNANIMOUS APPROVAL

0313-02. Set priorities for formula grant for technology Action

0313-03. Naviance family connections progress Action

Suzanne Ayriss updated us from the counselor viewpoint. District Secondary Administrator meeting—asked to Naviance on agenda regularly. AHS has a different type of ownership because they bought it rather than have district pay for it. Is Naviance being pushed aside due to other duties? Is district promotion and efforts a continuing process or? Stakeholders: parents, students, counselors/teachers. Marketing by district? Add link to SchoolLoop—SchoolLoop is being used? Accountability would encourage people to use it. Is course information included on site?

0313-04. American HS report on new scantron technology Action

Based on a Technology Presentation by Scott Padway at AHS, they will now be using GradeCam. GradeCam looks at the score sheet, grades it and automatically records it in the gradebook. Excel Grade sheet can be uploaded immediately to SchoolLoop. School has to do some set-up time. What is the cost? Committee viewed a 7 minute web video. Security of system?

0303-05. David reviewed the presentation John Krull gave to Administrators:

Presentation to Administrators Technology readiness for Common Core
Need continued and consistent expanding of student device to prepare. Logistics and Tech support are consistent challenges.

- Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium
- Tech Readiness Tool—move to online assessments gives rise to a distinctive set of challenges for school technology
- Have enough bandwidth—AHS is piloting with math; also issues with difficulty of questions, 403 errors on information queries (could be because we are blocking Mimeo and YouTube, kids complained there is lots of reading,
- New Hardware: Schools should not purchase new equipment just for testing, but rather purchase should be for the school technology plan to support teaching and learning.
- Vision: best testing environment
- Area Wide Network upgrade in 2012
- Established District Supported Wireless
- All Schools meet network requirements
- Established minimum standards

Discussion diverged onto types of devices.

- Participated in Pilot Testing—all levels, has given insight to logistics and hardware needs. OARS online tests will be similar to SBAC testing (navigating, etc.)
- Recommendations: Purchase more mobile devices.

New topics: Two questions from Rob:

1) Google docs and accounts for students—every new kid needs access. How do we get it? Can we be assured that new students can have Google IDs by the end of September? How can this be more automated?

2) During the course selection process, when can we have online course registration? Such as the one at Logan High School. Kennedy has been doing online registration (iReg) but it requires keying in codes, not just using drop down menus like at Logan. Manual data entry is dependent on employee time, data accuracy when entering, and there is no possibility to preclude a student from registering for something they are not eligible for. This generates course request reports, not scheduling.

Next meeting:

Report on Engrade –David Thornley

Demonstration of Logan Registration course selection (Student Connect/Equitus).

ADJOURN: Motion Anne, Second: Suzanne, Unanimous approval

REGULAR MEETING OF THE TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Professional Development Center
District Office
4210 Technology Drive
Fremont, California 94536
May 6, 2013; 7:00 p.m.

NOTE: Oral Communications will be at approximately 7:30 p.m. Individuals who wish to speak under Oral Communications should turn in their request to speak prior to 7:00 p.m.

OPENING ACTIVITIES

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

DAVID THORNLEY

ROBERT HOU

ANNE DAMRON

JOHN KRULL, CTO

TONI SCHLOBOHM

MELISSA HECKMAN

ROB REIBENSCHUH

SAMEER DESAI

BIN WANG

MAILE FERREIRA

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA—TS, RR UNANIMOUS APPROVAL

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

END CONSENT SECTION

REGULAR COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS

5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS (approximately 7:30 p.m.)

6. SCHEDULED ITEMS

0513-01. Approve meeting minutes February, TS.RR unanimous Action
TS.RR unanimous

0513-02. Committee Membership for next year and officer elections in June Action
board agenda item is for the 5/22 meeting. Current status: David Thornley showed a slide of the board item. See below. It was noted that Vasundhara Dulam and Rajan Barma have not been attending the meetings and have not responded to emails regarding membership and meetings. John will confer with the Superintendent adding David Thornley, Educational Technology and Communications Specialist to the committee.

Date of Board Meeting: May 22, 2013

TITLE: Appoint Community Members and Staff Members to the Board of Education
Technology Advisory Committee

Background:

In February 2006, the Technology Advisory Committee (TAC) became a designated committee of the Fremont Unified School District Board of Trustees. According to its by-laws, the purpose of this committee is to advise and make recommendations to the Board, Superintendent, and the District management staff as appropriate. Membership of the TAC consists of equal parts FUSD staff members and community members. According to the committee by-laws, a total of 16 members are authorized for

this committee. The School Board of Trustees appoints community members while the Superintendent appoints staff members.

The TAC meets the first Monday of each month and is led by the Chairperson who is selected by members. The Chair alternates annually between a staff and community member. Agendas and minutes are posted on the District website. The District Chief Technology Officer attends meetings as an “ex-officio”, non-voting member.

Current Status:

There are currently five open community positions. The five applicants for these vacant positions are Melissa Heckman, Robert Hou, R.S. Mukherjee, Vikram Jung, and <tbd>. Sameer Desai, Bin Wang, and Shankar Venkataraman are beginning year two of their terms.

Anne Damron (CSEA representative), Rob Reibenschuh (FSMA representative), and Antoinette “Toni” Schlobohm are year one of their two-year terms. Suzanne Ayriss, Thomas Birbeck, and Maile Ferreira (FUDTA representative) are completing their second year.

Recommendation:

Appoint five (5) community members: Four (4) community members for a two-year term and one (1) community member for second year of a two (2) year term to the Technology Advisory Committee from the applications submitted and as recommended by Staff.

0513-03. School Board presentation review Action
Committee viewed the slides for the Board Presentation Melissa & Toni will present at the 5/8 meeting. RFP coming out for SBAC formulas coming out for 2,000 laptops so schools can test within 20 days using this new technology. Presenters solicited comments from committee members to be fully prepared for questions Trustees might ask. Completed of 2013-2015 Technology Plan should be on the June 12 Board Agenda.

0513-04. Engrade presentation by David Thornley Action
Secondary is fully embracing School Loop for grading program, progress reports and report cards. David Thornley noted that elementary needed a solution, created his own that were surprisingly shared. John found Engrade for teachers to be able to enter report card information. Engrade is equivalent to School Loop, add assignments, tags on assignments populate report card in real time. Five schools are piloting and were trained mid year. Information goes to student and populated report cards. Student, teacher and parent logins and notifications are available. Threaded discussions are another possibility. No email addresses are needed for this. Standards based report cards will be needed so discussions are planned to see if Engrade can do a Common Core Standards report card. Communication with students and families is much easier. Information in one place is helpful, as well as mid year reports, behavior standards. Implementation could have been cleaner—software is more powerful than initially realized. John noted the strength of this was the standards based report card. Software can push attendance, IEP information etc. Teachers that are piloting are happy with this program. Next steps will be discussions with MSJE who has been piloting School Loop and consider which direction to go. Engrade is extremely responsive. Cost is near the same as School Loop.

0513-05. Demonstration of Logan High School on-line registration Action

Tabled for a future meeting—Thom Birbeck and Toni Schlobohm gathering information from Logan sources for presentation.

Suggested Agenda items:

Revisit SBAC testing device formula/ RFP for laptops

Brown Act

Bylaws

Officer Elections

Technology Plan 2013-2015

Next meeting will be June 3, 2012 at 7: 00 p.m. in the Professional Development Center.

ADJOURN: 8:30 p.m.

Motion: TS/RR Unanimous to Adjourn